1 Garrick S. Lew SBN 61889 Law Offices of Garrick S. Lew & Associates 2 600 Townsend Street, Suite 329E San Francisco, CA 94103-4957 3 Telephone: (415) 575-3588 RICHARD W. WIEKING Facsimile: (415) 522-1506 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 4 email: gsl@defendergroup.com NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 Attorneys for Defendant Johnson Mai 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 UNITED STATES, No: 3-06-70479 MAG **Plaintiff** 12 JOHNSON MAI, [PROPOSED] ORDER AND STIPULATION 13 a/k/a Zhi Xiong Mai FOR CONTINUANCE FROM JANUARY 21, a/k/a Uncle Hong, 2008 TO FEBRUARY 12, 2008 AND a/k/a Chi Hong Mak, 14 EXCLUDING TIME FROM THE SPEEDY a/k/a Hong Suk;

TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §

3161(h)(8)(A) AND WAIVING TIME

LIMITS UNDER RULE 5.1

KAI LUN ZHENG,
a/k/a Wai Keung Cheung,
a/k/a Su Ming,
a/k/a Alan Zheng;
ZHI EN HUANG,
a/k/a Gao Lo;
DAVID YUEN,

a/k/a Xiao Ling Li;

LISA LEE,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a/k/a Lo Wu, a/k/a Wu So Gor; and ERIC YU HENG CAI

Defendants.

With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendants, the Court enters this order scheduling a status conference on February 12, 2008 at 9:30 a.m. before the duty magistrate judge and documenting the defendants' waiver of the preliminary hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) and (iv), from January 22, 2008 to and through February 12, 2008. The parties agree, and the Court finds

and holds, as follows:

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: January 18, 2008

DATED: January 18, 2008

DATED: January 18, 2008

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Gil Eisenberg

GARRICK LEW

Attorney for Defendant Kai Lun Zheng

Attorney for Defendant Johnson Mai

Brian Getz

BRIAN GETZ Attorney for Zhi En Huang

1. The case is very complex and involves international transactions and shipments, foreign

banks and complex monetary transactions, extensive wiretap evidence and conversations in different

Chinese language dialects. There are multiple defendants and discovery is voluminous. All defense

counsel involved are in need of additional time to prepare the case. Furthermore, the government and

defense counsel are actively involved in negotiating the final terms of a global settlement that will

resolve all pending charges and forfeiture claims involving all defendants before the court and

additional time is necessary to seek approval of the proposed plea and forfeiture agreements with the

government.

2. All defendants agree to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §

3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) on the basis of complexity and (iv) continuity of counsel for effective preparation

taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

3. The defendants waive the time limits of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for

preliminary hearing.

4. Accordingly, and with the consent of all parties, the Court (1) alternatively sets a

preliminary hearing before the duty magistrate judge on February 12, 2008 at 9:30 a.m. and (2) orders

that the period from January 22, 2008 to and through February 12, 2008 be excluded from the time

period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial

Garrick Lew

Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

Stipulation and Proposed Order for Continuance [3-06-70479] [MAG]

27

28